

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,212	12/27/2004	Katsuhisa Mitsuhashi	04853.0121	7322
22852	7590 02/09/2006	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAI LLP	N, HENDERSON, FAF	LILLING, HERBERT J		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/519,212	MITSUHASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HERBERT J. LILLING	1651			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the meet patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fittle, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Int	terview 1-2 <u>6-06</u> .				
,—	•	his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13 and 23</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>13 and 23</u> are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contribution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Der No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1651

- 1. In view of the telephone of January 24, 2006, Applicant has indicated that two inventions were elected. The additional Group I, Claims 1-12 and 14-22, has now been examined. The previous office action has been modified to include the additional claims.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of the election response and amendment to the claims filed December 18, 2005.
- 3. Applicant has elected Claim 22 as amended for examination as well as a second election drawn to Group I, claims 1-12 and 14-21. In the future Applicant should indicate the election of only one invention

Claims 13 and 23 have been withdrawn.

The restriction is proper as stated.

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

i.) NON-ENABLING DISCLOSURE

Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Art Unit: 1651

was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention with respect to the strain FC 58 or FERM BP-8388.

It is apparent that the strain is required to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the strain in accordance with U.S.Rules . See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining the and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of the strain would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty **and that all restrictions** imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be **irrevocably removed** upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements, See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

- a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;
- b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material <u>will be irrevocably</u> removed upon the granting of a patent;

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;

d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.807;

and

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

ii) BEST MODE

112:

Claims 1-12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of the best mode is based upon the examples whereby there is a comparison of the various species, which demonstrates that the FC 58 or accession number FERM-8388 clearly is superior to the other species. One can not practice the invention based on the above paragraph non-enabling disclosure.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1651

Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements which is the substrate. See MPEP § 2172.01.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chartrain U.S. 5,792,871 or U.S. 5,846,791.

Each of the references teaches the same process step of culturing Candida sorbophila in a medium, which clearly anticipates the claimed process step as claimed.

7. No claim is allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1651

(571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1651</u> February 01, 2006

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651